Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/831,290	SCHNELL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel M Sullivan	1636
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Daniel M Sullivan</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>David Halstead, Ph.D.</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 July 2004 Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Enablement and Written Description		
Claims discussed: 1,7		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The parties discussed the amendments made in the accompanying Examiner's amendment. Part III. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		